



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/462,576 05/25/00 HAVKIN-FRENKEL D 13253-00001

SAUL EWING REMICK & SAUL  
CENTRE SQUARE WEST  
1500 MARKET STREET  
38TH FLOOR  
PHILADELPHIA PA 19109

HM12/0607

EXAMINER

COLLINS, C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1638

DATE MAILED:

06/07/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/462,576

Applicant(s)

HAVKIN-FRENKEL ET AL.

Examiner

Cynthia Collins

Art Unit

1638

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claims 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

## Attachment(s)

- 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other:

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Election/Restrictions*

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.
2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11, drawn to a method for improving production of vanillin in cultured *Vanillin planifolia* which comprises supplementing tissue culture with compounds, classified in class 435, subclass 430.1, for example.

Group II, claim(s) 12-16, drawn to a method for improving production of vanillin in cultured *Vanillin planifolia* which comprises subjecting an embryo culture to a stress condition, classified in class 435, subclass 430.1, for example.

Group III, claim(s) 17-24, drawn to a method for improving production of vanillin in cultured *Vanillin planifolia* which comprises genetically engineering to overproduce one or more enzymes associated with one or more steps of vanillin biosynthesis, classified in class 435, subclass 468, for example.

Group IV, claim(s) 25-28, drawn to a method for improving production of vanillin in cultured *Vanillin planifolia* which comprises inhibiting production or activity of vanillyl alcohol dehydrogenase, classified in class 435, subclass 468, for example.

Group V, claim(s) 29-30, drawn to a method for improving production of vanillin in cultured *Vanillin planifolia* which comprises genetically engineering to overproduce one

or more enzymes associated with one or more steps of vanillin biosynthesis and inhibiting production or activity of vanillyl alcohol dehydrogenase, classified in class 435, subclass 468, for example.

4. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

5. The technical feature linking Groups I-V appears to be the production of vanillin in cultured *Vanillin planifolia*.

6. However, the production of vanillin in cultured *Vanillin planifolia* is obvious or anticipated over Risch et al. (1996, ACS Symposium Series 660, pages 30-39, Applicant's IDS). Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-V does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, because it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

7. Furthermore, the inventions of Groups I-V are distinct methods because each method requires the use of different method steps and different components. The method of Group I requires supplementing tissue culture with compounds, which is not required by the methods of Groups II-V. The method of Group II requires subjecting an embryo culture to a stress condition, which is not required by the methods of Groups I and III-V. The method of Group III requires genetically engineering *Vanillin planifolia* to overproduce one or more enzymes associated with one or more steps of vanillin biosynthesis, which is not required by the methods of Groups I-II and IV. The method of Group IV requires inhibiting production or activity of vanillyl alcohol dehydrogenase, which is not required by the methods of Groups I-III. The method of Group V

Art Unit: 1638

requires both genetically engineering *Vanillin planifolia* to overproduce one or more enzymes associated with one or more steps of vanillin biosynthesis and inhibiting production or activity of vanillyl alcohol dehydrogenase, which is not required by the methods of Groups I-II, and which is not required in combination to practice the methods of Groups III-IV. Thus the inventions of Groups I-V are each capable of being separately made, independently used and the patentability of one would not render the other obvious or unpatentable.

8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, their recognized divergent subject matter, and the requirement for different areas of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### ***Remarks***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Collins whose telephone number is (703) 605-1210.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:45 AM -5:15 PM.

Art Unit: 1638

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Hutzell can be reached on (703) 308-4310. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and 1 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

CC

June 4, 2001

ELIZABETH F. McELWAIN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 1600

